

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,735	04/09/2004	Hirotoshi Tawara	250056US-3DIV	8994
22850	2850 7590 02/17/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			SPISICH, MARK	
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	-
		DATE MAILED: 02/17/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/820,735 TAWARA ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Mark Spisich 1744 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Mark Spisich. (3)E Tracy (Appl Rep). (4)_____. (2) K Pauley (Appl Rep). Date of Interview: 16 February 2005. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: all. Identification of prior art discussed: as applied in prior action. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. f) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Mul Sun

discussed the term "abrasive". There was some confusion as to its meaning in light of a statement that the scraping up body could be a sponge. Applicant's Rep agreed to inquire with applicant as to whether the claims could recite a brush instead. With regard to the rotating body, claims were presented to recite a concavo-convex surface to reduce surface contact with the adhesive roller. This would at least define over the art as aplied. Will reconsider upon filing of an amendment.